# M/EEG source reconstruction: problems & solutions

#### SPM-M/EEG course Lyon, April 2012



C. Phillips, Cyclotron Research Centre, ULg, Belgium http://www.cyclotron.ulg.ac.be



### Source localisation in M/EEG

## Forward Problem



#### Inverse Problem

### Source localisation in M/EEG

## Forward Problem



#### Inverse Problem

### Forward problem

#### Head anatomy



Head model : conductivity layout Source model : current dipoles

Solution by Maxwell's equations

### Maxwell's equations (1873)

$$\vec{\nabla}\vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}$$
$$\vec{\nabla}\vec{B} = 0$$
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu \vec{j} + \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

Ohm's law :  
$$\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{E}$$

### Continuity equation :

$$\vec{\nabla}\vec{j} = \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}$$

### Solving the forward problem

### From Maxwell's equations find:

$$M=f(\vec{j},\vec{r})$$

- where *M* are the measurements and *f*(.) depends on:
- •signal recorded, EEG or MEG
- head model, i.e. conductivity layout adopted
- source location
- source orientation & amplitude



### Solving the forward problem

### From Maxwell's equations find:

$$M = f(\vec{j}, \vec{r})$$

with f(.) as

#### an analytical solution

- highly symmetrical geometry, e.g. spheres, concentric spheres, etc.
- homogeneous isotropic conductivity
- a numerical solution
  - more general (but still limited!) head model



### Analytical solution

#### Example: 3 concentric spheres



Pro's: •Simple model •Exact mathematical solution •Fast calculation Con's: •Human head is not spherical •Conductivity is not homogeneous and isotropic.

### Numerical solution

Usually "Boundary Element Method" (BEM) :

- Concentric sub-volumes of homogeneous and isotropic conductivity,
- Estimate values on the interfaces.

#### Pro's:

•More correct head shape modelling (not perfect though!) Con's:

- Mathematical approximations of solution
  numerical errors
- Slow and intensive calculation

### Features of forward solution

#### Find forward solution (any):

$$M = f(\vec{j}, \vec{r})$$

with f(.)

- linear in  $\vec{j} = \oint j_x j_y j_z e^{\vec{l}}$  non-linear in  $\vec{r} = \oint r_x r_y r_z e^{\vec{l}}$

If N sources with known & fixed location, then

$$M = f\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_1 \ \vec{r}_2 \Box \ \vec{r}_N \end{bmatrix}\right) \times J = L \times J$$

### SPM solutions

Source space & head model

- •Template Cortical Surface (TCS), in MNI space.
- •Canonical Cortical Surface (CCS) = TCS warped to subject's anatomy
- •Subject's Cortical Surface (SCS) = extracted from subject's own structural image (BrainVisa/FreeSurfer)

#### SPM solutions



FIGURE 4: Surface rendering (upper row) and meshes (lower row) encoding the three cortical models: SCS (a), CCS (b), and TCS (c). CCS (red) and TCS (green) meshes are superimposed on the SCS mesh (blue).

Jérémie Mattout, Richard N. Henson, and Karl J. Friston, 2007, Canonical Source Reconstruction for MEG

### SPM solutions

#### Source space & head model

- •Template Cortical Surface (TCS), in MNI space.
- •Canonical Cortical Surface (CCS) = TCS warped to subject's anatomy
- •Subject's Cortical Surface (SCS) = extracted from subject's own structural image (BrainVisa/FreeSurfer)
- Forward solutions:
- •Single sphere
- •Overlapping spheres
- Concentric spheres
- •BEM
- •... (new things get added to SPM & FieldTrip)

### Source localisation in M/EEG

# Forward Problem



### **Inverse Problem**



### Useful priors for cinema audiences

- Things further from the camera appear smaller
- People are about the same size
- Planes are much bigger than people



### Distributed or imaging model



 $Y = LJ + \varepsilon \qquad P(Y|\theta, M) = N(LJ, \Sigma)$ Parameters  $\theta$ :  $(J, \Sigma)$ 

Hypothesis M: distributed (linear) model, gain matrix L, Gaussian distributions

<u>Priors</u> Sensor level:





#### The source covariance matrix





Source number







#### Minimum norm solution

= "allow all sources to be active, but keep energy to a minimum"



#### Solution







### Incorporating multiple constraint



 $Y = LJ + \varepsilon \qquad P(Y|\theta, M) = N(LJ, \Sigma)$ Parameters  $\theta$ :  $(J, \sigma, \lambda)$ 

Hypothesis M: hierarchical model, Gaussian distributions, gain matrix L, variance components  $Q^i$ 

<u>Priors</u>

Sensor level:





Source level:  $P(J) = N(0, \Delta)$   $\Delta = \lambda_1 Q^1 + \ldots + \lambda_k Q^k$  $\log I = N(a, b)$ 

For example: Multiple Sparse Priors (MSP)



## Dipole fitting

#### Estimated position

#### Estimated data







#### Constraint: very few dipoles!

# Dipole fitting





Prior source covariance





#### Estimated data



### Conclusion

• Solving the Forward Problem is not exciting but necessary...

...MEG or EEG? individual sMRI available? sensor location available?

- M/EEG inverse problem can be solved... ...If you provide some *prior* knowledge!
- All prior knowledge encapsulated in a covariance matrices (sensors & sources)
- Can test between models and priors (a.k.a. constraints) in a Bayesian framework.

# Thank you for your attention

And many thanks to Gareth and Jérémie for the borrowed slides.